UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,029	11/04/2003	Brian Grove	G&C 30074.50-US-U1	6164	
23973 DRINKER BII	7590 12/28/2007 DDLE & REATH		EXAMINER		
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP SHIP			SHIFERAW	RAW, ELENI A	
ONE LOGAN 18TH AND CH	SQUARE HERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103-6996		2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
	10/701,029	GROVE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. Ny be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communicate (as 5 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>J. 78</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-33 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-78</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= '		40471
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		nligation No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	·	•	iae
application from the International Bure	•	cocived in this Hational Sta	90
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) D Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/30/2004</u> .	6)	<u>-</u> ·	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 34-78 are presented for examination, claims 1-33 are non-elected and/or canceled.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 1-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 09/28/2007.

Claim Objections

Claim 34 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim recites "the steps of" on line 2. However there is no "steps of" mentioned before that line. Appropriate correction is required in the next office action to avoid antecedent bases problem.

Claim 64 is objected to because of the following informalities: On lines 2 and 4, the claim recites "a memory". The examiner thinks the applicant "a memory" on line 4 is referring to "a memory" on line 2. Appropriate correction is required in the next office action to avoid antecedent bases problem.

Claims 34, 49, and 64 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims preamble recites "A method/apparatus of/for authenticating", but body of the claims only states steps of retrieving, generating and transmitting, but not the steps of authentication. Appropriate correction is required in the next office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 34-35, 38-44, 49-50, 53-59, 64-65, and 68-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iijima USPN 5225664.

Regarding claims 34, 49 and 64, Iijima discloses a method/apparatus of authenticating a hardware token (IC card 1) for operation with a host (terminal device 8), comprising the steps of:

retrieving a value X (col. 42-64; *C2X*) from a memory accessible to an authenticating entity, the value X generated from a fingerprint F of the host (col. 3 lines 64-67) and an identifier P securing access to the token (col. 4 lines 42-49 and lines 21-26, ; *random number...card number/SN*);

generating the identifier P at least in part from the value X and the fingerprint F (col. 4 lines 55-63 and lines 42-49; C2); and

transmitting the identifier P to the token (col. 4 lines 64).

Regarding claims 35, 50 and 65, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein the host fingerprint F is computed at least in part from host information C (col. 3 lines 64-67).

Regarding claims 38, 53 and 68, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein the value X is

Application/Control Number:

10/701,029 Art Unit: 2136

computed in the token (col. 4 lines 42-49).

Regarding claims 39, 54 and 69, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein the value X is computed according to X=f(P,F), wherein f(P,F) is a reversible function such that f(f(P,F),F)=P (col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 64).

Regarding claims 40, 55 and 70, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein f(P,F) comprises P XOR F (col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 64).

Regarding claims 41, 56 and 71, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein the value X is further computed at least in part from a user identifier U (col. 2 lines 43-62).

Regarding claims 42, 57 and 72, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein the value X is computed according to X=f(P,U,F), wherein f(P,U,F) is a reversible function such that f(f(P,U,F),U,F)=P (col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 64).

Regarding claims 43, 58 and 73, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein f(P,U,F) is P XOR U XOR F (col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 64).

Regarding claims 44, 59 and 74, Iijima discloses the method/apparatus, wherein: the authorizing entity is a host computer communicatively coupleable to the token; and the value X is stored in the host computer (fig. 1 elements 1 and 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 45-48, 60-63, and 75-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iijima USPN 5225664 in view of Miura USPN 6952775 B1.

 Regarding claims 45, 60 and 75, Iijima fails to disclose hashing. However Miura discloses the method/apparatus of IC card 103 authentication (see fig. 1) and the authentication comprising hashing multiple entity's personal information (see fig. 6-7), storing the computed hash value (col. 2 lines 64-col. 3 lines 7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Miura within the system of Iijima to compute a hash value of value x because computing a hash value of

Regarding claims 46, 61 and 76, Miura discloses the method/apparatus of IC card 103 authentication (see fig. 1) and the authentication comprising hashing multiple entity's personal information (see fig. 6-7), storing the computed hash value (col. 2 lines 64-col. 3 lines 7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Miura within the system of lijima to compute a

authenticating data is well known at the time of the invention for authentication.

Application/Control Number:

10/701,029 Art Unit: 2136

hash value of in part from the fingerprint F; and retrieving the value X associated with the reference value H because computing a hash value of authenticating data is well known at the time of the invention for authentication.

Regarding claims 47, 62 and 77, Miura discloses the method/apparatus of IC card 103 authentication (see fig. 1) and the authentication comprising hashing multiple entity's personal information (see fig. 6-7), storing the computed hash value (col. 2 lines 64-col. 3 lines 7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Miura within the system of Iijima to compute a hash value of in part from the fingerprint F; and retrieving the value X associated with the reference value H because computing a hash value of authenticating data is well known at the time of the invention for authentication.

Regarding claims 48, 63 and 78, Miura discloses the method/apparatus of IC card 103 authentication (see fig. 1) and the authentication comprising hashing multiple entity's personal information (see fig. 6-7), storing the computed hash value (col. 2 lines 64-col. 3 lines 7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Miura within the system of Iijima to compute a hash value of in part from the fingerprint F; and retrieving the value X associated with the reference value H because computing a hash value of authenticating data is well known at the time of the invention for authentication.

Application/Control Number:

10/701,029 Art Unit: 2136

7. Claims 36-37, 51-52, and 66-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iijima USPN 5225664 in view of Ayyagari et al. 2003/0208677.

Regarding claims 36, 51 and 66, Iijima teaches wherein the host fingerprint F is computed at least in part from host information C (see col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 64) but fails to disclose wherein the host fingerprint F is computed at least in part from a server specific value V. However Ayyagari et al. discloses identifier information comprising a concatenated hardware address of the access server and a hardware address of a client. There fore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Ayyagari et al. with in the Iijima system because they are analogous in authentication. One would have been motivated to incorporate the teachings to include the remote server within the authentication.

Regarding claims 37, 52 and 67, Iijima teaches wherein the host fingerprint F is computed at least in part from host information C and a fixed string Z (see col. 3 lines 56-col. 4 lines 64) but fails to disclose wherein the host fingerprint F is computed at least in part from a server specific value V and a fixed string Z. However Ayyagari et al. discloses identifier information comprising a concatenated hardware address of the access server and a hardware address of a client. There fore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Ayyagari et al. with in the Iijima system because they are analogous in authentication. One would have been motivated to incorporate the teachings to include the remote server within the authentication.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni A. Shiferaw whose telephone number is 571-272-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser R. Moazzami can be reached on (571) 272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 18, 2007

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2109

12,26,07